<b>)</b> :		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	
see form F	CT/ISA/220		
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
pplicant's or agent's file		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
nternational application	No. Internati	g date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 27.09.2003	
A61K31/4706, C07L Applicant GLAXO GROUP LI		101/12, C07D401/14, C07D405/14, A61K31/4709, A61P2	
1. This opinion c	ontains indications rela	the following items:	
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		
☐ Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. III	Non-establishment of o	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicabilit	ty
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
⊠ Box No. V	Description of the	ule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indust lanations supporting such statement	trial
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cite		
☐ Box No. VII			
☐ Box No. VII	Certain observations of	nternational application	
2. FURTHER AC	<b>TION</b>		
written opinion the applicant c International B will not be so c	of the International Prefit hooses an Authority othe ureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> onsidered. s, as provided above, col	nation is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply what his one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the t written opinions of this International Searching Authority d to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ere appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of the SAZ20 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority of	o ree
months from to whichever exp	ires later.	574220 01 001010 1111 11111111111111111111	
For further opt	ions, see Form PCT/ISA/		
3. For further de	ails, see notes to Form P	<i>1</i> 220.	
Name and mailing ad	dress of the ISA:	Authorized Officer	Caron Luckes Peter
D-8029	an Patent Office 8 Munich 9 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 ep	Gavriliu, D Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8274	9

10/572914

# AP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 2.1 MAR 2005 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT/EP2004/010844

_	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion
1.	the lang	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in juage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ Th	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
;	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
	4. Addit	onal comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/010844

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application	1,			
☒	claims Nos. 15(with respect to industrial applicability)				
because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 15				
	the standard provided for in Annex				
	the written form	☐ has not been furnished			
		does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form	☐ has not been furnished			
	·	☐ does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, or not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
П	See separate sheet for further	<b>l</b> etails			

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/010844

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19

Claims No:

Yes: Claims

1-19

Inventive step (IS)

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14;16-19

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/010844

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 15 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provision of Rule 67.1(iv)PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I)PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 97/44322 A (MONTANA JOHN GARY; DYKE HAZEL JOAN (GB); CHIROSCIENCE LTD (GB)) 27 November 1997 (1997-11-27)
- Do: WO 02/20489 A (SQUIBB BRISTOL MYERS CO; YU GUIXUE (US); BI YINGZHI (US); MACOR JOHN) 14 March 2002 (2002-03-14)
- D3: WO 02/092571 A (ASTRAZENECA AB ; LARSSON JOAKIM (SE); SJOE PETER (SE)) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)

#### 2. Novelty (Article 33(1) and (2)PCT)

The subject-matter of the present application relates to compounds of formula (I)(see present Claim 1) as PDE4 inhibitors. The present compounds are novel over the compounds disclosed by D1-D3 on the account of the present specific substituents from the position 3-, 4- and 6- of the quinoline ring. Consequently, the novelty of the present subject-matter is acknowledged.

### 3. Inventive step (Article 33(1) and 33(3) PCT)

The present subject-matter relates to compounds of formula (I) as PDE4 inhibitors, useful as medicament for treating inflammatory and/or allergic diseases.

D1, which is regarding as the closest prior art, discloses 5-sulphonamidequinolines as PDE4 inhibitors, useful to treat the same disease as in the present case (see Claims 1, 13 and 17). The compounds disclosed by D1 are not specific

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/010844

substituted either in position 3 with a carboxamide function or in position 4 with an amino derivative as in the present case.

The technical problem of the present application may therefore be regarded as a provision of further quinoline derivatives as PDE4 inhibitors.

D2 discloses quinoline derivatives of formula (I)(see Claim 1) as PDE inhibitors especially as PDE5 inhibitors (see pages 27-33). The compounds disclosed by D2 do not bear any sulphonamide function as in the present case and moreover the amino function from the position 4-th of the quinoline ring is not substituted with a directly linked aryl (see e.g. examples 59-64).

D3 discloses 4-anilinoquinoln-3carboxamides as JAK3 kinase inhibitors, useful to treat inflammatory, immunological and bronchopulmonary diseases (see page 10). The compounds disclosed by D3 can be substituted in position 6 of the corestructure with different substituents R1, but none of them is a sulphonamide function (see the definition of R1 for the compounds claimed by the present Claim 1).

Since none of the cited prior art documents discloses, either alone or in combination, the possibility for PDE4 inhibitors to be substituted in position 3-, 4- and 6- of the quinoline ring with the specific present moieties an inventive step can be acknowledged for the present subject-matter.

### 4. Industrial applicability (Article 33(4)PCT).

For the assessment of the present claim 15 on the question whether they are industrial applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may also allow, however, claims to a known compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.